1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 8 DOYLE WHEELER and CARRI WHEELER, husband and wife, individually CV-10-0202-LRS NO. 9 and on behalf of similarly situated Washington residents, ORDER RE ATTORNEY FEES INCURRED 10 FOR MOTION TO COMPEL Plaintiffs, 11 12 -vs-13 NOTEWORLD, LLC, d/b/a NOTEWORLD SERVICING CENTER, a Delaware 14 limited liability company; NATIONWIDE SUPPORT SERVICES, 15 INC., a California corporation; FREEDOM DEBT CENTER, a 16 California corporation; and JOHN and JANE DOES A-K, 17 Defendants. 18 19 **BEFORE THE COURT** is Plaintiffs' Declaration Regarding Expenses 20 Incurred in Bringing Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel (ECF No. 86) and 21 Defendant Nationwide Support Services Inc.'s Objections to Plaintiffs' 22 Request For Fees and Expenses (ECF No. 88). 23 On December 1, 2011, the Court entered an Order Granting Plaintiff's 24 25 Motion to Compel (ECF No. 85), in which the Plaintiffs were awarded their 26 fees upon preparation of an itemization of such fees and an opportunity ORDER - 1

for Defendants to respond. The Court, however, indicated at that time that the amount awarded would take into account the somewhat complicated procedural history of this case and other equitable considerations.

Plaintiffs indicate the fees incurred for the motion to compel were \$4,755.00. Defendants object to the total sum, and argue that they took "reasonable and prudent steps to work with Plaintiffs' counsel to address discovery issues and to preserve the confidentiality of customer and business information that may be at issue." ECF No. 88. further note that a final "Stipulation for Confidentiality" was approved and circulated to all parties in early December. Nationwide also argues that the total sum does not appear to be accurate. Nationwide points out that the entry on October 19 lists 2 hours and \$410 to "review and revise motion to compel arbitration as to Nationwide," which is not a motion at Additionally, it is noted that there are six different timekeepers on plaintiffs' proposed cost invoice for their motion - three attorneys, two legal assistants, and one senior complex litigation paralegal - with repetitive entries. Nationwide respectfully submits that Plaintiffs' request for \$4,755 is excessive given the totality of the circumstances. ECF No. 88.

Having reviewed all papers filed in support of the fees determination, as well as all papers filed in opposition to said fees, and for the reasons explained above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Plaintiff's request for Attorney Fees is **GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART.**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2. Plaintiff is entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees in the amount of \$3,000.00.

The District Court Executive is directed to file this Order and provide copies to counsel.

DATED this 21^{st} day of December, 2011.

s/Lonny R. Suko

LONNY R. SUKO UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

ORDER - 3